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ABSTRACT

Web search queries can offer a unique population-scale window onto streams of evidence that are useful for detecting the emergence of health conditions. We explore the promise of harnessing behavioral signals in search logs to provide advance warning about the presence of devastating diseases such as pancreatic cancer. Pancreatic cancer is often diagnosed too late to be treated effectively as the cancer has usually metastasized by the time of diagnosis. Symptoms of the early stages of the illness are often subtle and nonspecific. We identify searchers who issue credible, first-person diagnostic queries for pancreatic cancer and we learn models from prior search histories that predict which searchers will later input such queries. We show that we can infer the likelihood of seeing the rise of diagnostic queries months before they appear and characterize the tradeoff between predictivity and false positive rate. The findings highlight the potential of harnessing search logs for the early detection of pancreatic cancer and more generally for harnessing search systems to reduce health risks for individuals.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Web search is a primary resource for people concerned about the significance of health-related symptoms [16]. Researchers have studied symptom and illness-related searches in pursuit of insights about how people search about health concerns, including patterns of querying and review of information in pursuit of diagnoses [54], healthcare utilization signals [55], traces of therapeutic decision making for challenging illnesses [40], and identification of new adverse effects of medications [56, 57]. Prior studies have examined how population-level signals in social media can be used to detect the emergence of diseases [10, 19].
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Figure 1: Venn diagram depicting sets of users employed in analyses: pancreatic cancer searchers (A), pancreatic cancer searchers exhibiting experiential diagnostic queries (B), and those who search for the symptoms of pancreatic cancer (C). \( |A \cup C| \) (i.e., number of users in original, pre-filtered dataset) is 9.2 million. Positives and negatives are sourced from \( B \cap C \) and \( C \setminus A \), respectively. Relative set sizes are not to scale.

We explore the prospect of harnessing anonymized long-term sequences of health-related search queries to yield information that could provide valuable signals for detection of illness in advance of traditional diagnosis. Leveraging online behavioral data to provide earlier detection of a disease or of the raised risk of illness on a large scale can make significant contributions to healthcare. Better outcomes can be achieved by earlier confirmation of illnesses and risks via gaining access to more timely diagnoses, treatments, and other proactive interventions. As an example, such capabilities might help to identify those at significant risk of suffering the onset of advance of chronic disease processes such as diabetes or heart disease or the rise of acute processes such as atrial fibrillation or more severe cardiac arrhythmias. Interventional programs ranging from changes in diet or exercise to taking (or avoiding) certain medications can yield significant health benefits.

The diagnosis for certain medical conditions can be particularly devastating if the chances of survival are typically low at the time of diagnosis. Survival rates may be improved significantly via earlier detection and treatment. With many cancers, screening methods can be effective for early detection and therapy [41], but they involve explicit testing prompted by policies around risk factors such as family history [33] or medical history [14, 32]. Ideally, health screening systems would be able to observe people passively as they engage in their normal activities and alert them (per their preferences on vigilance) to potential health risks with-
out requiring the investment of time and effort in special screening activities. Individual-level postings on social media have been mined for this purpose [10, 46], but may not have representative coverage of symptoms associated with social stigma [11].

We present a study of the feasibility of doing early detection of devastating diseases based on large-scale logs of health-related Web search activity. We consider the content of queries over time, and the prospect that temporal relationships and patterns among queries over multiple sessions over several months provide subtle fingerprints of lurking illness. We focus on the early detection of the presence of pancreatic cancer, a devastating diagnosis given the typical progression of the disease to an inoperable situation by the time it is found. Our work showcases the potential value of innovative approaches for speeding up the time to diagnosis of this deadly disease.

Pancreatic cancer is the fourth leading cause of cancer death in men and women in the United States and the sixth leading cause in Europe [36]. The illness is widely known as being difficult to detect and is frequently diagnosed too late to be treated effectively [22, 30]. A recent study found that the progression of pancreatic cancer from stage I to stage IV happens in just over one year [59]. Approximately 75% of pancreatic cancer patients die within a year of diagnosis, and only about 4% survive for five years post diagnosis. Exploration of the possibility that a patient has pancreatic cancer involves a careful and costly consideration of history, labwork, and imaging studies (in contrast to the passive screening methods described in this paper) [45]. Screening is largely performed to detect the disease at an early phase (pre-invasive or early invasive) when it is still curable by surgical intervention and chemotherapy. Earlier diagnosis of pancreatic cancer improves the feasibility of discovering the illness at an earlier stage [7]. For patients diagnosed early who undergo curative surgery (e.g., a Whipple procedure), five-year survival rate is higher, but it remains less than 25% [58].

We take as a proxy for ground truth of a diagnosis of pancreatic cancer the detection of experiential diagnostic queries issued by searchers. Experiential queries show strong evidence of being linked to the actual presence of symptomatology or conditions versus less directly involved, more distant exploratory queries seeking information about symptoms or diseases [40]. Experiential diagnostic queries for pancreatic cancer are identified via consideration of the structure of queries and of patterns of information gathering over multiple users in search logs. Experiential queries often include first-person assertions such as "I was just diagnosed with pancreatic cancer", which when associated with prior queries about symptoms identifies the positive cases.

We construct models to predict the future rise of experiential queries from longitudinal search data. Figure 1 shows the different subsets of users in our analysis, including people who search for pancreatic cancer (A), the subset of these searchers who issue experiential diagnostic queries (B), and those who search for a set of symptoms linked to pancreatic cancer (C). Those who only search for one or more related symptoms with no evidence of pancreatic cancer searching constitute the negative cases. We find that our methods can detect cases where people show evidence of being diagnosed with pancreatic cancer many months in advance of their experiential diagnostic queries.

We make the following contributions with this research:

- Introduce early detection of diseases as a promising new application of search log mining and machine learning that scales to millions of searchers.
- Present a case study on the early detection of pancreatic cancer from longitudinal individual search activity.
- Forecast with significant lead times that users will later input experiential queries for pancreatic cancer.
- Explore the influence of different factors, such as the lead time or the presence of specific symptoms in the search activity, on the predictive performance of our learned models, including true positive rates when false positive rates are strictly controlled. Controlling false positives is especially important to reduce unnecessary costs and concerns given potential future applications such as providing early warnings and suggestions to searchers about undertaking more formal screenings.

We now describe related research in this important area.

2. RELATED WORK

Related research in a number of areas is relevant to our work. These include (i) health searching; (ii) large-scale analysis of search behavior; and (iii) methods for the early detection of disease, with a focus on pancreatic cancer.

The Web is an important source of health-related information for many people. To better understand how people pursue health information, studies have examined online health search using a variety of methods, including interviews [42], surveys [49], and analyses of large-scale search log data [2, 5]. According to a 2013 survey, 50% of American adults had used the Web to find health information in the year preceding the survey, 35% of those engaged in self-diagnosis, and over half of these self-diagnosing searchers then discussed the matter with a clinician following the search [16]. Despite the potential benefits, concerns have been raised about the quality of online health information [8]. In a large-scale survey of the use of search for self-diagnosis, White and Horvitz [53] found that almost 40% of participants experienced increased anxiety from searching health information online. Studies have characterized problems with symptom search, including the influence of poor accounting for base rates of diseases and people’s bias to focus on results covering serious illnesses versus more likely benign explanations. Such biases can lead to inappropriate anxiety [28, 53] and highlight the criticality of studying how patients use the Web, including the nature and dynamics of queries, and content delivered in response.

There has been a large amount of research on the analysis of search behavior from search engine logs. Log analysis provides insights to understand how people engage in information seeking in online settings [51], while also having applications for tasks such as result ranking [1, 24], query suggestion [25], prediction of future search actions and interests [13, 27], and detection of real-world events and activities [44]. Given access to population-scale data on how people search for health information, this can be applied for important tasks such as the detection of influenza [19], the detection of adverse drug reactions [56], population-scale studies of nutrition [50], epidemiology [19], and studies of chronic medical conditions such as pregnancy [15]. Related to this research, but focused on activity post-diagnosis, are studies of cancer-related searching [3, 6, 21], some of which have revealed strong similarities between temporal patterns
in search logs and those in practice [38, 40]. Studies have leveraged online behavioral signals for early disease detection at the population level [19], and individually [11, 46].

Screening high-risk individuals for pancreatic cancer is the only practical approach to detect precancerous or cancerous changes in the pancreas at the phase in which surgical intervention will have a high chance of cure [26]. Risk level can be determined by factors such as race [9], family history [33], and a history of pancreatitis [32]. Imaging studies via methods such as endoscopic ultrasound, computer tomography scans, and magnetic resonance imaging [35, 37] have been useful to diagnose pancreatic cancer once the tumor is large enough to cause unusual, salient symptoms that induce people to seek medical attention (e.g., yellow eyes, changes in stool), but at this point the disease is more likely to be at an advanced and unresectable stage (i.e., locally advanced or metastatic, when it cannot be removed by surgery) [29]. Common, seemingly innocuous symptoms such as back pain, abdominal pain, itchy skin, unexplained weight loss and nausea (and combinations and temporal patterns of these and other symptoms) may also be observed in the query stream. Such symptom searches can provide patterns of symptoms that might one day be employed in new kinds of health surveillance systems. Such systems could be used to alert people who would otherwise not feel moved to see a healthcare professional.

Active, explicit screening for early signs of pancreatic cancer is not cost effective unless there is a reasonable probability of detecting invasive or pre-invasive disease (at least 16% according to one study [45]). A log-based methodology provides scale that is not achievable with more traditional epidemiological studies, which tend to be on the order of tens or hundreds of participants, e.g., [23, 43].

3. DATASET CREATION

We now describe the data used, starting with a description of the logs (Section 3.1). We then discuss the creation of an ontology with symptoms commonly experienced by people with pancreatic cancer (Section 3.2) and provide details on extracting pancreatic cancer and symptom searchers (Section 3.3). We review the augmentation, tagging, and filtering steps for our dataset (Section 3.4). Finally, we summarize the creation of query timelines for the positive cases (i.e., experiential diagnostic searchers who also search for pancreatic cancer symptoms) and the negative cases (i.e., those who only search for the symptoms) (Section 3.5). Since reliable labels cannot be determined for the non-experiential pancreatic cancer searchers, we exclude them to create a cleaner dataset for training and testing. We show later (see Section 5.6) that predictive performance is largely unchanged if these searchers are included as negative examples during the application of the model in a realistic scenario.

3.1 Anonymized Web Search Engine Logs

Search engines track various characteristics during their interaction with users so as to better capture information needs, improve their responses, and personalize the content. Every such interaction corresponds to a log entry that includes a unique, anonymized user identifier based on a Web browser cookie. This enables the extraction of the search history comprising queries and clicks from an identifier for up to 18 months. Note that the identifier may comprise the search activity of multiple users on shared machines and does not consolidate activity from a user across multiple machines. We use the logs of a randomly-selected subset of Bing search engine users in the English-speaking United States locale from October 2013 to May 2015 inclusive.

3.2 Symptoms and Risk Factors

Warning signs and symptoms for pancreatic cancer usually include generic, subtle signs and symptoms, such as abdominal and back pain, loss of appetite, and unexplained weight loss. We performed an extensive review of possible signs, symptoms, and risk factors associated with pancreatic cancer and developed an ontology with 21 categories of symptoms. This manually-curated ontology consists of two levels. The first level includes the names of the symptoms and the second level includes multiple names, synonyms, and expressions with which the corresponding symptom in the first level may appear in our data. We performed multiple iterations of refinements of this ontology to remove noise and to minimize erroneous query matches. Table 1 presents the 21 symptom categories with some representative examples of associated query expressions. Also shown are 12 risk factors and associated synonyms, derived from the literature (e.g., [31]), describing attributes, characteristics, or exposures that may increase the likelihood of pancreatic cancer. The symptoms and the risk factors are featured in predictive models, and they are also used in policies to determine when predictive models should be applied (see Section 5.5).

3.3 Extraction of Searchers

In order to identify positive and negative cases for the generation of our learned model, we built a dataset comprising two groups of users (Figure 1). The pancreatic cancer searchers group, denoted as A in the figure, includes all searchers with at least one query explicitly on pancreatic cancer (i.e., a query matches this expression [‘pancreas’ OR ‘pancreatic’) AND ‘cancer’]). The symptom searchers group, denoted as C, includes all users with at least one query related to symptoms linked to pancreatic cancer, as captured by the symptoms and synonyms described in Section 3.2.

Having unique identifiers for each user in the union of A and C (i.e., $A \cup C$) permits the extraction of the full query histories of 9.2 million searchers. We first sought to remove searchers who are likely healthcare professionals (HCPs). To do this, we employed a proprietary Bing classifier that identifies health-related queries to remove users from the study for whom 20% or more of queries are health related. This threshold was based on a prior analysis of identifying health professionals in search logs [52].

3.4 Dataset Augmentation

Age and gender are important factors associated with developing pancreatic cancer [31, 36]. As such, we augmented the dataset with demographic information from proprietary search engine classifiers that estimate age (discretized as $<18, 18-24, 25-34, 35-50, 50-85$) and the gender for each user. The classifiers are trained on data where ground truth of demographic details are provided explicitly by users. The predictions are based on signals derived from searchers’ long-term search activity, including their search queries and Web domains of their clicked results. Since pancreatic cancer incidence rates vary by geographic location, we also annotated searchers with the U.S. state from which they searched most (based on reverse Internet provider (IP) lookup data).
Beyond the demographic information, we are also interested in the subject matter of the queries and results that were visited over searchers’ timelines. We augmented each query and corresponding clicked websites with their estimated Open Directory Project (ODP, dmoz.org) category. We used a text-based classifier, similar to [4], that uses logistic regression to predict the ODP categories. When optimized for the score in each category, this classifier has a micro-averaged F1 score of 0.60. For queries, the ODP category is that of the top-ranked search result. The remaining users after the augmentation and filtering steps total 7.4 million, from which 479,787 are pancreatic cancer searchers.

### 3.5 Positive and Negative Cases

We create query timelines for experiential pancreatic cancer searchers and experiential symptom searchers which we then feature for the early detection task. Figure 2 summarizes the strategies for identifying positives and negatives. To avoid including users with very short histories, we filter out all users with less than five search sessions\(^1\) spanning five different days. This reduced the population to 6.4 million users, with a mean total duration (time from first to last query for a user) of 210.32 days, standard deviation (SD) of 182.93 days, and interquartile range of 120 days.

**Positive Cases:** To identify experiential pancreatic cancer users, we created a set of first-person diagnostic queries for pancreatic cancer (denoted \(E_{\text{Exp0}}\)). Some examples of such diagnostic queries are [just diagnosed with pancreatic cancer], [why did i get cancer in pancreas], and [i was told i have pancreatic cancer what to expect].

From the set of 479,787 pancreatic cancer searchers, 3,203 match the pattern of diagnostic queries. In order to consider them as experiential users, we require them to have searched at least for one symptom prior to the diagnosis query. This step generates a set of 1,072 query timelines of experiential searchers that contain periods of symptom lookup followed by the diagnostic query. The symptom lookup period starts when the first symptom is detected as matching terms represented in the symptom ontology. For positive cases, the symptom lookup period completes at least one week before diagnosis (i.e., we consider the week before the diagnostic query as the period of diagnosis in real life and do not count queries in that time since they may be polluted with ground truth signals). For reliability, especially given the need to compute Temporal features (Section 4.2), the minimum time period for which our features are computed is four weeks.

**Negative Cases:** To generate the negative set, we sample from the users who searched for pancreatic cancer symptoms but did not search for pancreatic cancer anywhere in their timeline (i.e., \(C \setminus A\)), either before or after the symptom lookup period. Performing this additional check on data

---

\(^1\)Session is a query sequence with \(\leq 30\) minutes between queries [51].
Table 2: Summary statistics, namely, mean ($M$), standard deviation ($SD$), and number of cases ($N$), of durations and numbers of queries in positive and negative datasets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Duration (days)</th>
<th>Total # queries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>$SD$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positives</td>
<td>109.34</td>
<td>49.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negatives</td>
<td>108.04</td>
<td>48.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We were concerned that rudimentary behavioral differences may reflect artifacts in the data could invalidate the learning task. For example, if our experiential users were just more active generally, then a feature that computed the total number of queries would have strong predictive value, yet would be uninteresting scientifically. We sought to address this by downsampling the negative cases to attain a similar distribution of symptom lookup periods in terms of the temporal duration and query volume as observed for the positive cases. We did this by selecting users with a symptom lookup period duration within three standard deviations of the mean of the positive cases. We did this by selecting users with a symptom lookup period duration within three standard deviations of the mean of the positive cases. This reduces the number of negative cases to 3,025,046. Table 2 presents the summary statistics on the symptom lookup periods in terms of the number of days and the number of queries in the two datasets. The table shows that the distributions for positive and negative cases (in terms of number of days and number of queries) are similar. The distributions are statistically indistinguishable using two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests for temporal duration ($D = 0.005; p = 0.7017$) and number of queries ($D = 0.003; p = 0.7681$), even though the latter was not a filtering criterion. We note that query timelines are not aligned: the absolute point in time where people issue the experiential diagnostic query, and the accompanying symptom lookup period can differ between searchers.

4. EARLY DETECTION

We now present the problem, summarize features extracted from query timelines, and review the prediction model.

4.1 Problem Description

We address the problem of early detection of experiential searchers for pancreatic cancer via anonymized Web search engine logs. We cast this as a binary classification task, where the model is trained on features extracted from search log query timelines of experiential pancreatic cancer searchers and symptom-only searchers. We focus on maintaining very low false-positive rates (i.e., 1 misprediction in 100k correctly identified cases) while keeping high the imbalance ratio of positive and negative cases (i.e., one thousand positives vs. millions of negative cases); these properties are important for potential future large-scale real-world applications such as an alerting mechanism in search engines.

4.2 Features

We now describe the features extracted from query timelines. We group our features into five different categories: (i) demographic information about the user; (ii) characteristics about user sessions, query classes, and URL classes; (iii) characteristics about symptoms; (iv) features that capture the temporal dynamics; and (v) risk factors.

Demographics: Cancer statistics from the U.S. National Cancer Institute\(^3\) show that pancreatic cancer is more common with increasing age, is slightly more common in men than in women, and varies by geographic location. As such, we develop features related to the demographics of the users. In particular, we use the estimated age bucket and gender (see Section 3.4) along with the classifier’s probabilities as confidence values. The dominant location (U.S. state) of a searcher is also included as a feature.

Search Characteristics: People express their information needs and preferences through queries and click behavior (i.e., the website visits). We extract various features to capture these search and retrieval activities. As we discussed previously, the queries, as well as the visited websites, were tagged with their ODP category in an attempt to identify domains of interest (see Section 3.4). A first set of features 

Table 3: Performance at four-week intervals for users where features can be computed from $Exp_0 – 1$ week to $Exp_0 – 21$ weeks. Values averaged across the ten folds of cross-validation. The significance of differences in AUROC and TPR using paired $t$-tests for each week versus $Exp_0 – 1$ indicated * $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.001$, and *** $p < 0.0001$. Weeks denote lead time before $Exp_0$ ($\beta$ in Figure 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weeks before $Exp_0$</th>
<th>TPR (as %) at FPRs ranging from 0.0001–0.1</th>
<th>AUROC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>7.122 (8.998) 20.772 (36.202) 71.810 (70.620)</td>
<td>0.9112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 weeks</td>
<td>7.122 (10.979) 20.178 (34.242) 70.620 (69.047)</td>
<td>0.9034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 weeks</td>
<td>7.122 (10.683) 18.991* (33.234*) 70.023* (68.854*)</td>
<td>0.8854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 weeks</td>
<td>7.122 (9.792) 17.804* (32.937*) 67.359* (67.359*)</td>
<td>0.8780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 weeks</td>
<td>6.825* (9.195) 17.205* (32.640*) 64.688* (64.688*)</td>
<td>0.8539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 weeks</td>
<td>6.528* (9.195) 16.315** (32.345**) 61.424*** (61.424**)</td>
<td>0.8315</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Average partial ROC curves in the FPR range 0–0.01, for models learned using data up to 21 weeks before the first experiential diagnostic query (error bars excluded for clarity). Variance in FPR and TPR is minor.

5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We now present the findings of our experiments. We report the overall performance in Section 5.1 and the performance as we increase the lead time before the first experiential diagnostic query (Section 5.2). We then inspect the model to understand the contributions that each feature makes towards early detection (Section 5.3) and the performance of different feature classes (Section 5.4). We examine the effect on model performance of conditioning on symptoms and risk factors (Section 5.5) and consider a realistic deployment scenario (Section 5.6). We use the area
The top 10 features by evidential weight relative to the top feature. “Positive” or “Negative” direction means that a feature correlates positively or negatively, respectively, with the rise of experiential queries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature Weight</th>
<th>Direction Class</th>
<th>Feature Weight</th>
<th>Direction Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SymptomGeneral</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>NumOfDistinctSymptoms</td>
<td>0.8253 Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SymptomGeneral</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>NumOfDistinctSymptomsVariants</td>
<td>0.6899 Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SymptomGeneral</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>AgeClassProbability_60+55</td>
<td>0.6849 Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SymptomGeneral</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>HasBackPain</td>
<td>0.6622 Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SymptomGeneral</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>HasIndigestion</td>
<td>0.6432 Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SymptomGeneral</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>HasIndigestionThenAbdominalPain</td>
<td>0.6349 Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SymptomGeneral</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>SlopeNumOfDistinctSymptoms</td>
<td>0.6154 Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SymptomGeneral</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>HasBackPainThenYellowSkinOrEye</td>
<td>0.6094 Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SymptomGeneral</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>AgeClassProbability_LT18</td>
<td>0.5669 Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3 Feature Contributions

In addition to understanding the overall performance, we are also interested in understanding the features that are most important in the learned model for predicting the future issuance of experiential queries. Table 4 shows the top 10 features with the highest weight, along with their weight relative to the top-ranked feature (NumOfDistinct-Symptoms) and the feature class. The direction is based on the correlation between the feature value and the labels in the training data, using Pearson biserial correlation or the phi coefficient, depending on whether or not the feature data is binary. Table 4 shows that there is a broad range of features. The number of distinct pancreatic cancer symptoms was the most important feature. Temporal features representing changes over time and sequence ordering of symptom pairs are also important. Age is important, and it is positively correlated if the searcher is older and is negatively correlated if they are younger. Individual symptom features related to back pain and indigestion are important but have a negative influence on predicting future experiential queries, likely because (i) there are many explanations for why these symptoms appear in a query timeline, and (ii) they are positive for many negative cases (16.7% of negatives search for back pain, 7.4% search for indigestion).

5.4 Feature Classes

Beyond the individual features, we can also consider the accuracy of the models based on feature classes. This can be particularly important when some classes of features are easy to obtain in practice, e.g., the demographic features may be available for all searchers without the need to perform temporal modeling of query patterns. Table 5 presents the AUROC for models trained on each of the feature classes. The findings show that the Temporal class is particularly important, signifying the key role of temporal dynamics for this prediction task. The model is still accurate solely with access to demographics and basic features about general searching. However, performance improves considerably if we consider the specific features of the symptoms searched (SymptomSpecific) or the topics of the queries and results clicked (QueryTopic).

5.5 Symptoms and Risk Factors

We also considered the impact of the presence of symptoms and risk factors on the performance of the model.

- **Symptoms:** We filtered the positive and negative cases to those where a symptom was present in query timelines.
- **Risk factors:** These are risk factors corresponding to the presence of factors such as pancreatitis, smoking, and obesity, as well as cancer syndromes such as hereditary intestinal polyposis syndrome or familial atypical mismatchable mole melanoma syndrome (i.e., genetic disorders that predispose individuals to develop pancreatic cancer), all of which have been shown to lead to increased likelihood of developing pancreatic cancer [18, 20, 32, 48].

Recall that our cross-validation was stratified by user. During cross validation, we learned a model on the users in the training folds and then for testing we limited to users with evidence of the specific symptoms or risk factors in their search history prior to the experiential diagnostic query. In each case the number of positives and negatives is less than the full set. Table 6 presents statistics on the performance for each model where the number of positive examples was at least 10 (to help ensure that AUROC calculations were meaningful). The table also presents TPRs at different false positive rates, as well as the percentage of positive or negative cases that have the symptom or risk factor searches. Finally, the last three columns show the estimated number of true positives (capture) and false positives (cost) that would be observed, assuming a FPR of 0.00001, and the associated capture-cost ratio. Ideal targets for rates of capture versus cost in a deployed service can be derived via a decision analysis that considers the net expected value of the early detection and the expected costs of unnecessary anxiety. Such an optimization would leverage a careful characterization of the value of early intervention and details of designs of methods for engaging people.

Table 6 shows that focusing on users who search for risk factors such as smoking, hepatitis, and obesity leads to better overall performance. There were fewer than ten users searching for each of the cancer syndromes (e.g., hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer) and, hence, they were excluded from Table 6. Focusing on the percentage of posi-
tives and negatives that contain each of the symptoms or risk factors, we observe that there are some that are much more likely to occur in positives (e.g., pancreatitis and smoking). We can estimate these factors for which the capture-cost is more favorable. For example, in the case of alcoholism or obesity, we would find 20–30 times as many TPs as FPs. There are others symptoms and risk factors for which to apply early detection models for a searcher.

### Table 6: Performance of the models conditioned on a variety of symptom (S) and risk factors (RF). Values below the dashed line have a higher AUROC than Overall. Capture represents the number of TP cases in the cohort of positives as negatives, and tested it on this held-out set. The performance increases with increased lead time as noted previously (see Table 3). The symptom lookup durations for positives and negatives were similar to Section 3.5. We randomly split the test data into ten equally-sized subsets for significance testing. Table 7 reports the predictive performance at different lead times.

#### Table 7: Average AUROC and average TPR (as %) at FPR=0.00001 for identifying experimental users and experimental+treathment users from held-out data. Differences in AUROC and TPR between Exp \( x \) and other weeks noted (*** \( p<0.001 \), ** \( p<0.01 \), * \( p<0.05 \)).

6. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We studied the potential feasibility of learning from search engine logs to predict future issuance of experimental queries about pancreatic cancer at a low error rate. The success of these methods has implications for online methods that would provide passive screening of searchers to provide early warning about potential signs of pancreatic cancer and other devastating diseases. We discovered that customization on different symptoms and risk factors can enhance predictive power. We found capture-cost tradeoffs associated with different time periods and different symptom combinations.

---

5. For completeness, we also trained a model on all data from earlier in the paper, including the non-experiential pancreatic cancer searchers as negative cases, and tested it on this held-out set. The performance is around 5% lower than reported in Table 7, for both AUROC and TPR, across all weeks. Including the non-experiential pancreatic cancer searchers may add noise to model training.
different symptoms and risk factors in terms of the total number of truly positive cases identified versus the number of searchers who would be mistakenly alerted. We characterized model performance as we increase lead time and found that we can attain a TPR in region of 5–30%, while controlling the FPR to 0.00001–0.01 months before a diagnostic query is observed. Looking forward, we seek to understand the costs and clinical significance of these methods, including how they might offer early warning of devastating disease onset to enhance outcomes (e.g., quality of life).

Despite the promising findings, we note several important limitations. First, we lack explicit ground truth about diagnoses per the anonymity of our logs. We rely on models of self-reporting in queries. We have found that streams of queries following the experiential queries can provide confirmatory evidence of pancreatic cancer diagnoses. Indeed, in the weeks immediately following the experiential diagnostic query, over 40% of searchers queried for treatment options, with many using sophisticated terminology (e.g., Whipple procedure, pancreaticoduodenectomy, neoadjuvant therapy) and over 20% of searchers searched for pancreatic cancer medications (e.g., gemcitabine, 5-fu). In contrast, only 0.5% and 0.02% of searchers in our negative set searched for treatments and medications, respectively, at any point in their query timeline. We need to work with diagnosed patients to understand (i) the relationship between experiential searching and diagnosis; and (ii) the model performance with the use of traditional data as ground truth about diagnoses (e.g., medical records). We also need to understand the role of factors such as race [9], family history [33], medical histories [32], diabetes [14], and other factors (e.g., smoking [18]). Some of these can be crudely estimated from geographic and census data (race), whereas others (family and medical histories) are best sought from searchers directly. To reflect anticipated performance in a natural setting, we focused on our imbalanced dataset. We re-ran the analysis with a balanced set, with highly similar results. Finally, we note that this is a retrospective analysis for model training/testing and we need to consider its representativeness for real-time screening, e.g., identifying negative cases in the retrospective study relies on symptom lookup durations. Potential additional analyses include explorations of predicting on fixed dates versus at the end of the observation period.

We are interested in several research directions. We believe it would be valuable to collect ground truth data, e.g., via targeted surveys, where responses and electronic health records could be linked (given consent) to long-term search activity. There is opportunity to develop more sophisticated time-series models and with applying our methods to other diseases. We leave to future reflection and efforts the design of methods for fielding the methods. We seek to engage with the medical community on directions for deploying the technology. In a recent sister publication, we shared these findings with practicing oncologists [39]. For real-world deployment, we need to consider whether online services would wish to provide individuals with early warnings about undiagnosed diseases given false positive rates, anxiety and associated costs of ruling out illness, privacy implications, and liability concerns. Beyond alerting searchers, a system could provide summaries of symptom searches as talking points for dialog with a medical professional, or contact a physician on the individual’s behalf. One could imagine services enabling users to opt-in to such screening programs with appropriate education and caveats about false-positive rates and their associated costs. In another approach, models could be trained from anonymized data yet fielded in a private manner, e.g., as an application on a searcher’s smartphone. Future work should consider the value of the search-centric analyses in the context of more traditional screening methods such as direct (active) cancer screening. Larger designs would consider how the search-based methods could be integrated with traditional screening to develop a more cost-effective screening program. Such work would require a careful consideration of the accuracies and costs of pre-screening and screening and the expected benefits of increased rates of survival associated with different policies.
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